

PRESENTERS



Andrew Barker QC, Shortland Chambers, Auckland

Andrew is an experienced trial and appellate advocate. He has a broad practice encompassing most areas of commercial dispute. He is a frequent commentator on developing issues in tort law, and has been counsel in a number of leading cases.



Professor Geoff McLay, Faculty of Law, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington

Geoff has taught and written about the law of torts throughout his 25-plus years at Victoria University. He has also taught torts in both Canada and the United States. Geoff served as Law Commissioner from 2010 – 2015, and was the chair of the Legislation Design Advisory Committee’s external subcommittee. He is currently the editor of the New Zealand Law Reports.

The statements and conclusions contained in this book are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This book has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

1. ACC	1
ACC AND COMMON LAW	1
ACCIDENT COMPENSATION AND “THE HUG OF DEATH”: ARE ENVIRONMENTAL DISEASES COVERED?	3
<i>The High Court decision</i>	5
<i>The Court of Appeal’s approach</i>	6
TREATMENT INJURY AND NG	7
<i>The High Court Decision</i>	7
<i>The Court of Appeal</i>	8
ACC AND PREGNANCY	10
<i>AZ v Accident Compensation Corporation</i>	11
2. NUISANCE	15
INTRODUCTION	15
<i>NOTTINGHAM FOREST TRUSTEE LTD V UNISON NETWORKS LTD</i>	16
<i>Rylands v Fletcher</i>	16
<i>Nottingham Forest Trustee Ltd v Unison Networks Ltd</i>	16
<i>YOUNG V ATTORNEY GENERAL</i>	20
<i>Introduction</i>	20
<i>The origins</i>	21
<i>Young v Attorney General</i>	23
3. NEGLIGENCE	25
INTRODUCTION	25
<i>ATTORNEY GENERAL V STRATHBOSS KIWI FRUIT LIMITED</i> [2020] 3 NZLR 247 (CA)	25
<i>Introduction</i>	25
<i>Immunity from claim</i>	26
<i>Justiciability</i>	27
<i>The duty of care</i>	29
<i>Proximity</i>	30
<i>Policy</i>	31
<i>Standard of care</i>	32
<i>Conclusion</i>	33
<i>MANCHESTER BUILDING SOCIETY LTD V GRANT THORNTON UK LTD</i>	33
<i>Introduction</i>	33
<i>MANCHESTER BUILDING SOCIETY V GRANT THORNTON UK</i>	35
<i>MANCHESTER BUILDING SOCIETY LTD V GRANT THORNTON UK LTD</i>	36
CONCLUSION	40
4. CLIMATE CHANGE: IS TORT UP TO THE REALLY BIG STUFF?	43
<i>SMITH V FONTERRA CO-OPERATIVE GROUP LTD</i>	43
<i>The High Court decision</i>	43
<i>The Court of Appeal’s institutional objections</i>	43
<i>The doctrinal problems</i>	44
<i>The tikanga trump card?</i>	47
5. PRIVACY	49
<i>HYNDMAN V WALKER</i>	49
<i>PETERS V ATTORNEY-GENERAL</i>	49
<i>RES IPSA TO THE RESCUE?</i>	51
CONCLUSIONS ON PRIVACY.....	52
<i>Breaching privacy by release to a few rather than the many</i>	52
<i>Breach no longer required to be “highly offensive”</i>	52
6. THE ECONOMIC TORTS	55
<i>OBG V ALLAN</i>	55
<i>INTELLIHUB LIMITED V GENESIS ENERGY LIMITED</i>	56
<i>SECRETARY OF STATE FOR HEALTH V SERVIER LABORATORIES LTD</i>	58